

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS FOR TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS IN IRAQ: AN OVERVIEW

^{i,*}Rabah Sulaiman Khaleefah & ^{ii,iii}Wan Abdul Fattah Wan Ismail

ⁱHead Department of Law, College of Law and Political Science, University of Kirkuk, Kirkuk, Iraq ⁱⁱFaculty of Syariah and Law, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM), 71800, Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia ⁱⁱⁱCentre of Research for Fiqh Forensics and Judiciary (CFORSJ), Faculty of Syariah and Law, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Bandar Baru Nilai, 71800, Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

*(Corresponding author) e-mail: rabahsulaiman@uokirkuk.edu.iq

Article history:

Submission date: 20 November 2024 Received in revised form: 17 December 2024 Acceptance date: 12 March 2025 Available online: 30 April 2025

Keywords:

Criminal liability, automobile, vehicles, traffic accident, Iraq

Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interest:

The author(s) have declared that no competing interests exist.

Cite as:

Khaleefah, R. S., & Wan Ismail, W. A. F. (2025). Criminal liability of automobile manufacturers for traffic accidents in Iraq: An overview. *Malaysian Journal of Syariah and Law*, 13(1), 236-248.

https://doi.org/10.33102/mjsl.vol13no1.1100



© The authors (2025). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact penerbit@usim.edu.my.

ABSTRACT

It is common for many injured individuals to file lawsuits against the automobile industry based on civil liability when a traffic accident occurs due to a defect. However, in this article, we examine the role of criminal liability in providing an effective deterrent to uphold legal standards, ensure consumer protection, and promote accountability. Navigating manufacturer liability within Iraq's evolving legal and regulatory landscape—shaped by decades of economic and political reform-requires balancing outdated laws with newer policies and enforcement mechanisms in a transitional economy. This study employs a narrative synthesis of the literature, a qualitative method used to summarize and explain existing research on a particular topic. However, research on the subject may be limited, or researchers may have a specific focus on certain aspects of the literature. Key findings suggest that corporate accountability can be ensured by implementing strong laws and regulations effectively. This study's contribution is acknowledging the gaps in Iraq's legal system governing criminal liability for automobile producers, and providing empirical recommendations for legislative reform and policy development in furtherance of consumer safety and legal accountability. Successful reform requires stronger legal protections, improved regulatory enforcement, and increased consumer advocacy.

Introduction

Recently, there has been a significant and noticeable shift, particularly in the import sector, leading to the influx of various types of heavy and light vehicles. As vehicle ownership has increased among families, the incidence of traffic accidents in Iraq has risen considerably. As a result, a large number of cases have accumulated in criminal, misdemeanor, and civil courts. It is worth noting that the courts have diligently addressed these cases. However, some incidents involve unidentified perpetrators, making these cases particularly difficult to resolve. Thus, it is necessary to consider imposing criminal liability on vehicle manufacturers (Nation, 2008).

The resolution of this issue involves imposing criminal fines and providing civil compensation, particularly when the identities of the accident offender and the vehicle owner are established. The matter directly affects citizens and their financial well-being. Assigning criminal responsibility to car manufacturers for Iraqi road accidents requires consideration of multiple factors. One major concern in Iraq is the high frequency of traffic accidents, which causes a corresponding increase in injuries and fatalities. Various elements contribute to this issue, including noncompliance with traffic regulations, lack of public awareness, and outdated laws that have not kept pace with rapid technological advancements. Existing literature on corporate liability indicate that ineffective legal mechanisms often fail to hold manufacturers accountable for defects that lead to accidents, thus weakening consumer protection laws (Buiten, 2024). Furthermore, research on legal modernization suggests that rigid and outdated liability structures hinder safety innovation and corporate responsibility, as businesses exploit legal loopholes to minimize accountability (Voegtlin & Scherer, 2017). Similar concerns have been raised regarding Iraq's legal framework, which lacks clear guidelines on corporate liability for vehicular defects and traffic accidents (Afrawee et al., 2020).

One of the major shortcomings of Iraq's automobile liability laws is the lack of sufficient regulation regarding defective vehicle production and safety standards. Research indicates that weak regulatory oversight often enables manufacturers to evade responsibility for mechanical failures that contribute to road accidents (Agbo et al., 2018). In Iraq, legal enforcement remains inconsistent, and accident victims frequently struggle to prove manufacturer negligence due to gaps in investigative procedures and legal technicalities (Amouzadrad, 2022). Additionally, legal scholars argue that modernization is essential for ensuring compliance with international safety standards and strengthening corporate accountability in the automotive industry (Marsh, 2021).

Given these challenges, legal reform concerning the criminal liability of automobile manufacturers in Iran is critical for improving road safety, protecting consumer rights, and promoting corporate accountability. This study aims to analyze the impact of outdated liability regulations on traffic accidents and examine the necessity of modernizing legal provisions governing automotive manufacturers. By examining prior research and conducting empirical analysis, this study will provide insights into the deficiencies in current legislation and propose recommendations for reform that align with international legal standards.

Approximately 2% of traffic accidents can be attributed to vehicle component failure or deterioration, while another 2% are caused by environmental and meteorological factors. The remaining 94% primarily stem from human errors or misjudgements, such as reckless driving, excessive speed, or impairment due to drugs or alcohol (Abdulla et al., 2017). This grim reality underscores the imperative to advance technology in order to compensate for the deficiencies of human drivers and mitigate drive errors more effectively. Motor vehicle manufacturers may face legal repercussions if negligent production or flawed design contribute to accidents, injuries, or fatalities. Nevertheless, determining the extent of this legal liability can be challenging due to complexity of modern vehicle design and the multitude of elements that might contribute to accidents (Henderson et al., 2023).

Due to the lack of a robust regulatory framework and efficient enforcement procedures, holding automobile manufacturers accountable for their actions in Iraq is particularly challenging. To effectively address the issue of traffic accidents and ensure that all parties—including manufacturers—are held responsible, a comprehensive strategy is needed (Peden, 2004). Infrastructure, legislation, and driver education are fundamental systemic concerns that should be addressed simultaneously for a more effective approach. As in many other countries, the issue of traffic accidents resulting from defective vehicles raise

significant concerns regarding the legal liability of car manufacturers in Iraq. Due to the increasing complexity of modern automobiles, the likelihood of them having defects leading to accidents has grown significantly, highlighting the legal responsibilities that producers must fulfil. This study focuses on analyzing the framework of Iraqi legislation pertaining to the criminal responsibility of automobile manufacturers, specifically examining the implementation of regulations in cases where traffic accidents are caused by manufacturing or design defects.

The intersection of legal norms, consumer rights protection, and corporate accountability creates a crucial and intricate system for assessing the extent of responsibility borne by manufacturers. This issue is especially significant in Iraq, where major transformations are underway in the country's legal and regulatory frameworks (Semenova et al., 2022).

Methodology

The study is conducted as a narrative synthesis of the literature, a qualitative method used to summarize and explain the existing research on a particular topic of interest. However, research on specific subjects may be limited, or a researcher may have a specific focus on particular aspects of the literature. Unlike systematic reviews, which emphasize quantitative synthesis (e.g., meta-analysis), a narrative synthesis provides a structured summary of existing knowledge and allows for a broader discussion of the topic. Bae (2014) identifies two primary reasons for adopting narrative synthesis. First, when relevant literature is scarce—such as in situations where few studies have been conducted on a specific topic—narrative analysis can help researchers consolidate disparate findings to create a holistic understanding. Second, some studies may be of particular interest to researchers due to their theoretical, methodological, or contextual significance, influencing the direction of the synthesis. Along with the narrative synthesis, this study employs a comparative legal method of investigation to understand how other jurisdictions, especially those with more advanced regulation, are addressing the question of criminal liability for vehicle manufacturers. The use of a comparative method yields the potential for a critique of the deficiency in the law in Iraq by comparing best practice and reforms in other legal systems, and different legal contexts, thus producing recommendations for legislative and policy improvement in their context.

Discussion and Findings

The Complexity in Evaluating Manufacturer Liability

The rapid advancement of automobile technology, specifically the introduction of automated systems and electronic controls, has significantly increased the complexity of assessing manufacturer accountability (Chandra, 2017). The interplay between outdated laws, new policies aimed at economic reform, and the practical challenges of enforcement has created a complex legal landscape that requires careful navigation. Liability issues related to autonomous artificial intelligence (AI) systems have become a growing concern (Chandra, 2017). The traditional framework provided by the European Product Liability Directive may no longer be sufficient to address the challenges posed by these emerging technologies. As a result, the development of new regulatory frameworks and liability regimes has become a pressing issue to mitigate risks associated with increasing autonomy in AI systems (Chandra, 2017).

Legal professionals, regulators, and the courts must consider technical aspects that were not previously covered by Iraq's older legal frameworks. The governance of such issues extends beyond national laws, incorporating the influence of international standards and practices, which can both guide and complicate the application of local laws (Velasco, 2022; Chandra, 2017). As AI and machine learning capabilities continue to advance, there is an ongoing discussion in international policy and legislative circles centre on revising and improving liability frameworks and thresholds concerning AI systems and technologies (Velasco, 2022) This discussion is necessary to ensure a balanced liability system that fosters both safe clinical implementation and disruptive innovation in the automotive industry.

Moreover, political and economic reforms can have a significant impact on the enactment and enforcement of consumer protection laws (White et al., 1971). Economic pressures to attract foreign investment may conflict with the stringent enforcement of these laws, potentially leading to compromises that affect consumer safety (Rose, 1980). Conversely, political reforms aimed at increasing transparency

and reducing corruption can strengthen the enforcement of these laws, fostering greater corporate accountability (Garoupa, 2008; Rena, 2009).

Understanding the interplay between legal standards, consumer protection, and corporate accountability in Iraq is crucial for effectively assessing manufacturer liability. As Iraq continues to reform its legal and regulatory systems amid economic and political shifts, the challenge lies in balancing these reforms with the need to protect consumers and hold manufacturers accountable. Striking this balance is essential for fostering a fair and safe market, promoting public trust, and supporting economic development. This article provides an overview of Iraq's current legal framework concerning automobile manufacturers' liability, examines specific challenges related to enforcement and jurisdiction, and highlights key cases that illustrate how these laws are applied in practice. By analyzing these aspects, the article aims to shed light on the effectiveness of the Iraqi legal system in safeguarding consumers and ensuring manufacturer accountability, thereby contributing to road safety and justice for accident victims.

Iraqi Laws Affecting Automobile Manufacturers

The legal framework governing automobile manufacturers in Iraq consists of various statutes and regulations designed to ensure the safety and reliability of vehicles manufactured and sold within the country. These laws encompass multiple areas, including manufacturing standards, quality control, and consumer protection. Iraqi law typically blends civil law influences and locally enacted statutory requirements, which define manufacturers' obligations regarding product safety and liability (Talim et al., 2021).

At the core of this legal framework are manufacturing standards and quality control measures that manufacturers must adhere to in order to gain approval to sell their vehicles in Iraq. These standards encompass a range of technical and safety specifications aimed at minimizing risks to consumers and ensuring the overall quality and performance of vehicles. Additionally, Iraqi law mandates that manufacturers provide comprehensive warranties and accept liability for any defects or malfunctions that may arise in their products, further strengthening consumer protection. However, the legal obligations of automobile manufacturers in Iraq extend beyond product quality and safety. Consumer protection laws also require transparency in marketing and advertising, ensuring that customers have access to accurate and complete information about the vehicles they purchase. The complex network of statutes and regulations governing Iraq's automotive industry reflects the country's commitment to fostering a safe, reliable, and consumer-friendly marketplace.

The automotive sector in Iraq is governed by a complex and diverse regulatory ecosystem, shaped by an extensive framework of rules and regulations that reflect the country's unwavering commitment to providing a safe, dependable, and consumer-friendly marketplace. As Iraq's economy continues to improve and the nation embraces a more market-oriented business environment, the automotive industry has emerged as a promising investment prospect for global firms. (Akoum et al., 2007).

Regulatory Standards for Vehicle Safety

The regulation of vehicle safety is crucial for minimizing the risk of traffic accidents caused by vehicle malfunctions. In Iraq, this might involve both governmental and independent agencies responsible for overseeing vehicle safety standards, certifying that manufactured and imported vehicles comply with international and domestic safety norms, and conducting periodic inspections to ensure ongoing adherence to safety requirements. Additionally, these agencies enforce compliance through inspections and regulatory oversight (Abdulwahab et al., 2021; Al-Gharabi et al., 2023). The emphasis on quality assurance and defect liability similarly underscores the importance of mitigating risks to consumers and ensuring their satisfaction.

The Iraqi government may participate in or adopt standards set by international bodies such as the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), which provides a widely recognized framework for vehicle regulations followed by many countries globally. Producer criminal liability refers to the legal responsibility of manufacturers, suppliers, or producers when their products cause harm due to negligence, fraud, or regulatory non-compliance (Reimann, 2003). While many jurisdictions have established legal

frameworks addressing producer liability, their implementation varies, particularly in the adoption of international legal standards (Sehgal & Venaik, 2024).

Many countries impose criminal liability on producers for product safety breaches, environmental harm, and consumer deception (Wells, 2001). However, the application and enforcement mechanisms vary across jurisdictions. Some, like the United States, primarily rely on strict liability for defective products, meaning producers can be held liable regardless of intent or negligence (Reimann, 2003). Others, such as many EU member states, incorporate both fault-based and strict liability principles.

Within this context, the EU has developed a harmonized legal framework that establishes producer liability through directives and regulations, including:

- a) Product Liability Directive (85/374/EEC): Imposes strict liability on producers for defective products that cause harm, requiring them to compensate affected victims;
- b) General Product Safety Directive (2001/95/EC): Mandates that producers ensure product safety before placing items on the market; and
- c) Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive: Requires large companies to prevent human rights and environmental violations within their supply chains.

United States Approach

The United States' legal framework for producer liability is rooted in federal and state laws, with key principles including:

- 1. The Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA): Establishes product safety standards and allows criminal prosecution for wilful non-compliance (Kiselbach, & Joem, 2012).
- 2. Tort Law & Punitive Damages: The United States legal system allows class-action lawsuits and punitive damages against producers for gross negligence, unlike many EU systems, where damages are primarily compensatory.

Many countries, particularly those with developing legal systems, have incorporated elements from the EU and the United States models to enhance consumer protection and ensure producer accountability (Cseres, 2012). International organizations such as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the United Nations advocate for corporate responsibility and liability standards, contributing to the convergence of global producer liability laws (Pargendler, 2020). However, some jurisdictions lack the necessary resources for effective law enforcement, enabling producers to evade liability. Additionally, excessive producer liability could discourage innovation, particularly in industries like pharmaceuticals and AI.

Government agencies enforce laws through inspections, penalties, and product recalls. In the EU, market surveillance authorities are primarily responsible for enforcement, while in the United States, agencies such as the Consumer Product Safety Commission oversee compliance. Additionally, many legal systems recognize corporate criminal liability, meaning that companies —not just individuals—can be prosecuted for violations. The UK Bribery Act 2010 (c. 23) and the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 exemplify laws under which companies may face severe sanctions for misconduct.

Compliance with these standards ensures that vehicles are not only safe for consumers but also competitive in international markets. This regulatory framework aims to protect consumers, promote fair trading practices, and uphold public safety by holding manufacturers to high standards of accountability and quality throughout their production processes.

In Iraq, as in many civil law countries, product liability is typically governed by a combination of obligations derived from contract law and tort law. This dual approach allows consumers to seek redress either under the contractual relationship with the seller (or manufacturer) or via tort claims for harm caused by defective products. Under contract law, manufacturers are required to provide products that conform to the terms of the sale agreement and meet consumers' legitimate expectations, including safety (Abdulnabi et al., 2022).

In tort, the focus is on the duty of care that manufacturers owe to end-users. If a defect in the automobile causes an accident or injury, the manufacturer can be held liable for negligence if it is proven that they failed to ensure the product's safety adequately. In the United States, the tort system places significant focus on a producer's duty of care toward consumers. If a vehicle defect leads to an accident or injury, the manufacturer may be held legally responsible for negligence if it can be demonstrated that they did not sufficiently ensure the product's safety. Over time, the identification of product defects has shifted from relying solely on industry and national standards to employing a risk-benefit analysis technique for determining what constitutes an unreasonable risk (Xiang et al., 2012). Product liability law imposes obligations on manufacturers that extend beyond typical expectations of professional care and competence.

Iraq has enacted consumer protection laws that directly address the responsibilities of manufacturers and the rights of consumers. These laws typically require that all goods, including automobiles, must be safe for their intended use and free from defects (Harun, et al, 2023).

- (a) The obligation of manufacturers to warn consumers about potential risks;
- (b) The requirement to recall vehicles if a safety issue is identified; and
- (c) Penalties and fines for non-compliance with safety standards.

The specific standards and regulations governing product liability for automobile manufacturers are primarily designed to ensure vehicle safety through compliance with both local and international standards. This may include:

- (a) Mandatory safety features such as seat belts, airbags, and anti-lock braking systems;
- (b) Requirements for safety testing, including crash tests, before vehicles can be sold in the market; and
- (c) Regulations mandating regular inspections and maintenance of vehicles to uphold safety standards.

Partially. Iraq's legal framework has provisions consistent with those abovementioned. Still, they may not be implemented as a unified strategy across multiple legislation, such as Consumer Protection Law No. 1 of 2010 (Iraq), Article 6: Suppliers and manufacturers must provide an explicit warning and information about the risks of the product. Articles 7 and 8: product safety and recalls, allowing authorities to remove dangerous products from the market. Additionally, Article 18: penalties for failure to meet safety obligations may include fines and criminal responsibility for the supplier. Traffic Law No. 86 of 2004 (as amended) may carry some safeguards in the licensing processes with respect to vehicle safety. The Traffic Law states that all vehicles should be inspected for roadworthiness (safe to operate).

The enforcement of these standards is typically the responsibility of specific governmental bodies. In Iraq, this includes the Ministry of Industry and Minerals, which oversees industrial standards and manufacturing practices, and the Ministry of Trade, which is responsible for consumer protection and market regulation. These entities ensure that manufacturers comply with legal requirements, conduct safety inspections, and enforce compliance through penalties or recalls when necessary. The incorporation of both Islamic principles and global best practices into these laws reflects a multifaceted approach to ensuring legal certainty and consumer awareness in an era of globalization, where foreign goods and services rapidly enter and exit the country (Setiodjati et al., 2021).

The Iraqi patent system, a key component of the country's industrial progress, has been analyzed to assess its effectiveness in providing legal protection for industrial property. Having undergone a brief historical evolution, the system addresses three main issues: patentability, the duration of protection, and the overall efficiency of its statutes. Among these, patentability is the most critical aspect, requiring a robust and transparent framework to foster innovation while simultaneously safeguarding the rights of both manufacturers and consumers (Althabhawi et al., 2014).

In Iraq, vehicle safety standards are established by relevant governmental authorities, including the Ministry of Industry and Minerals and the Ministry of Transport. These standards ensure that all vehicles sold and operated within the country meet specific safety criteria. Regulations typically mandate key safety features such as seat belts, airbags, anti-lock braking systems (ABS), and electronic stability control to reduce the risk of injury in the event of an accident. Compliance with UNECE vehicle regulations ensures that both imported and locally manufactured vehicles meet international safety standards, enhancing their overall safety profile (Duarte et al, 2020). Additionally, vehicles may be required to undergo a homologation process to demonstrate compliance with these international standards before being permitted on Iraqi roads (Aldhalemi et al., 2022).

Establishing Liability in Traffic Accidents

Establishing liability in traffic accidents, particularly those involving potential automobile defects, is a complex process requiring a clear understanding of legal principles, technical assessments, and case-specific details. Several key steps are involved in Iraq, where legal systems and enforcement mechanisms may differ from those in Western countries. As in many jurisdictions, tort law forms the basis for establishing liability in traffic accidents (Mohammed, 2019). This requires proving negligence, demonstrating that a duty of care was breached, and that this breach directly caused the harm. In cases where negligence rises to the level of gross negligence or reckless disregard, criminal charges may also apply. Determining manufacturer liability in traffic accidents involves meeting specific criteria to establish fault. These criteria are essential for proving that liability rests with the automobile manufacturer due to defects in design, manufacturing, or insufficient warnings about the product's use (Asad, 2017).

Existence of a Defect

A design defect occurs when a vehicle's blueprint or plan is inherently unsafe. This means that even if the vehicle is manufactured exactly according to specifications, it still poses a danger due to its design (Albayati & Lateef, 2019). In some cases, manufacturing defects occur during the production process, resulting in a vehicle or component that does not conform to the designer's specifications or the manufacturer's standards. These defects may stem from poor workmanship or substandard materials. Additionally, "failure to warn" defects occur when manufacturers provide inadequate instructions or warnings regarding the proper use of the vehicle or potential risks associated with its operation (Shapo, 2017).

Establishing a manufacturer's liability often requires expert testimony from automotive engineers, safety specialists, or forensic investigators who can link the defect with the accident. Maintenance records, recall notices, and prior complaints about similar issues can serve as crucial evidence in proving that the defect existed and was known to the manufacturer.

Role of Defects and Malfunctions in Legal Assessments

The role of defects and malfunctions in legal assessments of traffic accidents is pivotal, as these factors can significantly influence liability determinations and the outcome of legal proceedings. When a vehicle is involved in an accident, a thorough technical evaluation is often required to determine whether any mechanical defects or malfunctions contributed to the accident. This evaluation may include inspections conducted by automotive engineers or specialists, who assess whether all vehicle systems were functioning correctly at the time of the accident. Legal assessments also take into account the manufacturer's history concerning the specific model involved. This includes reviewing past recalls, defects reported by other consumers, and any documented repairs or maintenance issues prior to the accident (Henderson, 2020).

Comparative Analysis with International Liability Standards

Different jurisdictions adopt varied approaches to liability, shaped by their legal traditions, regulatory frameworks, and consumer protection policies. A comparative analysis of these systems can offer valuable insights into best practices and areas for potential improvement. An essential aspect of road safety is the question of whether automotive manufacturers should bear criminal liability for selling defective vehicles. Countries such as the United States, the EU, and Japan have robust legal frameworks that impose significant culpability on manufacturers in cases of death or injury resulting from negligence (Gutowski

et al., 2005). However, while these global models offer important perspectives, their applicability to Iraq must be carefully evaluated within the country's unique legal, political, economic, and social contexts.

United States

The United States employs a strict liability approach to product defects, meaning that manufacturers can be held liable for damages caused by defective products regardless of fault or negligence. This system is particularly consumer-friendly, as it simplifies the process of claiming compensation. Additionally, lemon laws provide remedies for consumers whose products—especially automobiles—repeatedly fail to meet quality and performance standards. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration regulates and enforces safety performance standards for motor vehicles, ensuring accountability through mandatory recalls and penalties for safety violations. In fact, the United States has adopted a strict liability approach to product defects, which holds manufacturers responsible for damages caused by their products regardless of fault or negligence (Gagg, 2005; Daughety et al, 2013). This system is particularly beneficial for consumers as it simplifies the process of claiming compensation (Southwick, 1963). Strict liability, as defined in legal literature, refers to situations where a polluter or manufacturer is held responsible for damages caused by their actions, irrespective of intent or negligence (Oppaluch, 1984; Russell et al., 2017). This approach has been widely applied in product liability law to address the challenges of proving negligence and to incentivize manufacturers to prioritize product safety.

European Union

The EU has a directive that holds producers liable for damage caused by defects in their products. Like the United States, this system does not require the injured party to prove negligence; the existence of a defect and resulting damage is sufficient. Meanwhile, the General Product Safety Directive ensures that only safe products are marketed in the EU (Wuyts, 2014). It applies to all products and facilitates the rapid exchange of information regarding risks associated with consumer goods. A manufacturer's declaration that a product complies with EU safety, health, and environmental requirements serves as a key indicator of its adherence to EU legislation.

Japan

Japan has stringent regulations for product safety, including mandatory accident reporting and a robust system of checks and inspections under the Consumer Product Safety Act. Additionally, the Product Liability Law, enacted in 1994, enables consumers to claim damages for injuries caused by defective products, aligning closely with international standards to protect consumers.

While the Iraqi government has made strides in reforming the country's CPSA to safeguard industrial property rights, the practical enforcement of these legal measures remains a significant challenge. Efforts to follow the regulatory steps of the United States, EU and Japan in consumer protection and product liability require stronger application and enforcement mechanisms (Spitzer, 2019).

In the United States, if a car manufacturer causes harm through intentional or grossly negligent behavior, they can be indicted for criminal neglect (Ehrenzweig, 2023). Companies often face hefty fines, and top executives may even be imprisoned for violations, as seen in General Motors' ignition switch scandal. A similar approach exists in Europe and Japan, where stringent safety regulations compel automakers to recall dangerous vehicles and ensure consumer protection. The Japanese also emphasize corporate responsibility, applying rigorous standards to product liability (Cohen, 1997). These legal frameworks collectively reinforce the effectiveness of consumer protection laws, ensuring that automobiles used daily are safe and reliable.

Challenges in Implementing These Lessons in Iraq

One of the major challenges in addressing criminal liability for automobile defects is the complexity of the evolving legal landscape. According to Shavell (2020), the increasing shift toward fully autonomous vehicles renders traditional liability models based on driver fault largely irrelevant. Furthermore, the nature of modern artificial intelligence used in driver assistance systems and self-driving technology presents unique challenges in determining culpability (Giannini & Kwik, 2023).

While Iraq could benefit from adopting similar liability measures, several challenges must be considered. Unlike the United States, EU, and Japan, Iraq lacks comprehensive legislation specifically addressing corporate criminal liability for automobile defects (Al-Saeedi, 2022). Existing laws primarily focus on general consumer protection and product liability, but do not impose direct criminal accountability on manufacturers. Establishing clear legal provisions to define corporate liability in the automotive sector is essential. Additionally, Iraq faces significant regulatory limitations in monitoring vehicle safety (Boeglin, 2015). Regulatory agencies often struggle with inadequate resources, limited technical expertise, and weak enforcement mechanisms. Unlike the EU, where centralized agencies oversee safety compliance, Iraq must strengthen its institutional capacity to ensure effective regulation (Steege et al.,2023).

Additionally, the Iraqi automotive market relies heavily on imports, particularly from neighboring countries and Asia (Chatzipanagiotis et al., 2020). Imposing strict liability laws could discourage foreign automobile manufacturers from selling vehicles in Iraq or lead to higher vehicle prices, potentially limiting access to affordable transportation (El-Haddad et al., 2017). A balanced approach is necessary to ensure manufacturer accountability while maintain economic feasibility. Meanwhile, the effectiveness of criminal liability laws depends on political will and public awareness. In Iraq, weak governance structures and legal enforcement challenges may hinder efforts to implement and uphold stringent accountability measures (Al-Jabori, 2023). Additionally, public awareness regarding vehicle safety regulations remains relatively low, highlighting the need for advocacy and educational campaigns.

Issues With Enforcement and Jurisdiction

In cases involving vehicle defects that may lead to traffic accidents, enforcement and jurisdictional issues can significantly complicate the legal process. These challenges stem from the complex nature of vehicle manufacturing, distribution, and regulation, which often span multiple legal and geographic boundaries. Automobile manufacturers operate on a global scale, with production facilities, corporate offices, and markets across different countries. Enforcing laws and regulations across such diverse jurisdictions is challenging due to varying legal standards and practices (Leuz ,2010).

On the other hand, when a defect originates in one country but causes accidents in another, jurisdictional conflicts may arise over which country's laws apply, complicating legal proceedings and the application of justice. Different countries have varying safety standards and requirements for vehicles, making it difficult to enforce consistent safety and accountability measures for manufacturers operating in multiple markets (Geistfeld, 2017). Effective enforcement often requires coordination among national and international regulatory bodies. However, a lack of synchronization and communication can create gaps in enforcement, making it challenging to hold manufacturers accountable.

In many jurisdictions, statutes of limitations restrict the timeframe within which claims can be filed after an accident or the discovery of a defect, potentially limiting victims' ability to seek redress. Applying a country's laws to a company or an event occurring outside its borders presents significant legal challenges, including determining the applicability of domestic laws to foreign entities or actions (Peden, 2004). Vehicle defect cases often involve complex litigation processes requiring extensive evidence gathering, expert testimony, and lengthy court proceedings, which can place significant burden on judicial system. Additionally, coordinating class action suits or group litigations involving large numbers of affected individuals across different jurisdictions adds further complexity to legal enforcement (Henderson, 2020).

Case Studies and Judicial Outcomes Evidential Aspect

Analyzing case studies and judicial outcomes related to manufacturer liability for vehicle defects provides valuable insights into how legal systems address complex liability issues (Calabresi ,2008). These cases often set important precedents and shape future legal and regulatory approaches. This section presents several notable case studies from different jurisdictions, each highlighting key aspects of how courts handle vehicle defects and manufacturer liability.

Toyota Unintended Acceleration (United States)

In the late 2000s, Toyota faced numerous lawsuits alleging that some of its vehicles experienced unintended acceleration. The issue was attributed to defective floor mats, sticky pedal conditions, and potential electronic faults. Toyota agreed to pay over \$1 billion to settle claims and recalls. Additionally, the United States authorities imposed a \$1.2 billion penalty for concealing safety defects related to unintended acceleration. This case underscored the importance of swift manufacturer responses to safety issues and the severe penalties for failing to disclose defects that pose a risk to public safety.

The unintended acceleration issues faced by Toyota illustrate the complex and multifaceted nature of automotive safety, as well as the challenges manufacturers encounter in managing such crises. An attributional analysis of media coverage in Germany during Toyota's 2010 global product recall suggests that causal attributions play a crucial role in shaping public perception. The company's perceived responsibility significantly influenced how the event was portrayed and interpreted (Tennert, 2014).

General Motors Ignition Switch Failure (United States)

Faulty ignition switches in certain General Motors vehicles could cause the engine to shut off unexpectedly, disabling critical safety systems, including airbags. The defect was linked to multiple deaths and injuries. GM faced a series of lawsuits and a criminal investigation, resulting in a \$900 million settlement with the United States government, along with additional compensation to victims and affected families. The case brought significant attention to corporate responsibility and transparency, leading to increased regulatory scrutiny and changes in safety recall practices across the automotive industry.

Volkswagen Emissions Scandal (International)

In 2015, Volkswagen was found to have deliberately programmed diesel engines to activate emissions controls only during laboratory testing to meet the United States' standards. On the road, however, these vehicles emitted up to 40 times more pollutants. As a result, Volkswagen faced massive fines, vehicle buybacks, and extensive legal action across multiple countries. The total cost in fines, penalties, and buybacks has been estimated to exceed \$30 billion. This case underscored the importance of environmental compliance for manufacturers and led to stricter emissions testing and regulations worldwide.

Takata Airbag Recall (Global)

Defective airbag inflators manufactured by Takata were prone to exploding upon deployment, resulting in injuries and deaths. The defect affected millions of vehicles worldwide across multiple manufacturers. Takata faced hefty fines, legal settlements, and ultimately filed for bankruptcy. Automakers recalled millions of vehicles to replace the faulty inflators (Banerjee, 2024). The Takata airbag case remains one of the largest and most complex product recalls in history, underscoring the challenges of managing global supply chain risks and the critical importance of component safety certification.

Anticipated Changes in Iraqi Regulatory Landscape

The acquisition of vehicles is a highly sought-after investment due to their significant value—not only in transportation but also as collectible assets that may be preserved for future use. The level of investment in automobiles is comparable to that in real estate. Moreover, any use of vehicles can give rise to legal liability, whether civil or criminal. Under the existing international accords, the use of cars is subject to specific requirements defined by national laws, which vary across countries. As Iraq continues to rebuild and modernize its infrastructure and legislative framework following the conflict, several anticipated developments could significantly reshape the regulatory landscape—particularly in consumer protection, vehicle safety, and manufacturer responsibility. These shifts are likely to be influenced by both domestic events and international trends. Additionally, Iraq's regulatory environment is undergoing substantial modifications, especially in consumer protection, vehicle safety, and manufacturer liability. These shifts are likely to be influenced by both domestic events are particularly evident in the automotive industry. As new technologies—such as driverless vehicles—continue to disrupt traditional sectors, legislators and regulatory agencies must carefully balance fostering innovation with ensuring public safety and accountability.

An important factor to consider in evolving landscape is the governance of self-driving vehicles. Existing vehicle regulation may not sufficiently address the unique challenges posed distinct difficulties presented by autonomous capabilities (Lowry et al., 1951). Instead, a more dynamic and adaptive regulatory system—similar to the staged approval and monitoring process used by the United States Food and Drug Administration for medical interventions—may be more appropriate (Lowry et al., 1951). Such a system would allow for the gradual introduction of autonomous vehicle technologies while prioritizing public safety (Lowry et al., 1951; Halin et al., 2021).

In contrast to conventional regulatory procedures, adaptive regulation has been proposed as a more effective approach to managing the complexities introduced by Industry 4.0 technologies. Adaptive regulation seeks to balance consumer protection, sustainability, economic growth, and innovation. It recognizes that rigid, universally applied regulations may not be effective in the context of rapidly evolving technological advancements.

Practical Recommendations for Iraq

To effectively implement lessons from the United States, the EU, and Japan while addressing local challenges, Iraq can consider the following steps:

- i. Introduce clear legal provisions defining corporate criminal liability in cases of defective automobiles, with penalties for non-compliance;
- ii. Enhance the capacity of regulatory agencies by investing in training, technology, and monitoring systems to enforce safety standards;
- iii. Adopt a phased approach, beginning with mandatory safety compliance checks and gradually incorporating stricter legal accountability measures;
- iv. Educate consumers about their rights and manufacturers' obligations to create societal pressure for stronger regulatory compliance; and
- v. Engage stakeholders, including policymakers, the automotive industry, and legal experts, to develop liability policies that balance accountability with economic realities.

By adapting global best practices to Iraq's unique legal and economic landscape, the country can enhance vehicle safety regulations while ensuring sustainable enforcement mechanisms. A comprehensive, context-sensitive approach will be essential to protecting consumers and improving road safety without imposing undue burdens on the automotive industry.

Conclusion

This study underscores the importance of holding automobile manufacturers criminally liable for defective vehicles, drawing lessons from the United States, EU, and Japan. The key findings suggest that corporate accountability can be ensured through strong laws and regulations that are effectively implemented. However, Iraq currently lacks specific laws and adequate enforcement mechanisms to provide such a legal framework. Strengthening liability laws would enhance safety in Iraq, though the country must first address weak regulatory oversight, economic dependence on imports, and limited public awareness. Meaning reform requires stronger legal protections, improved regulatory enforcement, and greater consumer engagement.

To advance these reforms, stakeholders—including legislators, regulatory bodies, and industry leaders should prioritize on (1) introducing corporate criminal liability laws tailored to Iraq's context, (2) investing in regulatory capacity-building to improve enforcement mechanisms, and (3) promoting legal awareness among consumers and manufacturers to foster accountability. A phased and pragmatic approach will ensure that legal reforms are both effective and sustainable. The anticipated changes in Iraq's regulatory landscape reflect a shift toward more modern, comprehensive, and enforceable frameworks that protect consumers, promote safety, and ensure fair competition. As consumer protection continues to gain traction in Iraq, strengthening these roles and capacities is essential. By enhancing consumer education, providing legal support, influencing policy, and ensuring corporate accountability, consumer protection and advocacy groups can play a pivotal role in improving the safety and integrity of the automotive industry. These efforts only to lead to better outcomes for individual consumers but also drive systemic improvements in product safety and regulatory compliance.

References

- Abdulla, M., & Wymeersch, H. (2017, June). "Fine-grained reliability for V2V communications around suburban and urban intersections". arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.10011
- Abdulnabi, S. M., Almoussawi, Z. A., Hatem, A., Ahmed, M. D., Hasan, A. A., Sabti, A. A., & Alhani, I. (2022). The effect of drivers and barriers on the adoption of green supply chain management in construction of Iraq: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Construction Supply Chain Management*, 12(1), 167–182.
- Abdulwahab, A. M., Ismael, N. T., & Aboud, G. M. (2021). A sustainable framework to reduce traffic accidents in Baghdad city. *IOP Publishing*, 779(1), 1–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/779/1/012019</u>
- Akoum, I., Zbib, I. J., & Ahmed, Z. U. (2007). Iraq: A new country in the making. *Thunderbird* International Business Review, 49(4), 475–506. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.20154</u>
- Albayati, A. H., & Lateef, I. M. (2019). Characteristics of traffic accidents in Baghdad. *Civil Engineering Journal*, 5(4), 940–949.
- Aldhalemi, A., & Abidi, F. (2022). Evaluation of the Iraqi road safety system in light of crash severity indicators. In *Proceedings of 2nd International Multi-Disciplinary Conference Theme: Integrated Sciences and Technologies (IMDC-IST 2021)*, (pp. 1–12).
- Al-Gharabi, A. H., Zubaidi, H., & Das, S. (2023). Exploring factors contributing to crash injury severity at Al-Diwaniyh city streets: Random parameter ordered probit model technique. *EDP Sciences Journal*, 427, 1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202342703014</u>
- Althabhawi, N. M., & Zainol, Z. A. (2014). The patent legal system in Iraq: The path to efficiency of its statutes. World Patent Information, 36, 32–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wpi.2013.10.002</u>
- Asad, F. H. A. (2017). Road traffic accidents in Iraq: A review of evidence-based literature. *International Journal for Traffic & Transport Engineering*, 7(2), 256–275.
- Banerjee, R. (2024). *Who blunders and how: The dumb side of the corporate world*. Penguin Random House India Private Limited.
- Barbosa, F. M., & Osório, F. S. (2023, January). "Camera-radar perception for autonomous vehicles and ADAS: Concepts, datasets and metrics". arXiv. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2303.04302</u>
- Calabresi, G. (2008). The cost of accidents: A legal and economic analysis. Yale University Press.
- Cooper, S. (2012). Culpable driving and issues of causation. *The Journal of Criminal Law*, 76(5), 431–437. <u>https://doi.org/10.1350/jcla.2012.76.5.797</u>
- Daughety, A. F., & Reinganum, J. F. (2014). Economic analysis of products liability: Theory. In *Research handbook on the economics of torts* (pp. 69–96). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781006177.00011
- Duarte, M. R., & Alves, M. (2020). A United Nations safety compliant urban vehicle design. *International Journal of Transport and Vehicle Engineering*, 14(12), 582–586.
- Gagg, C. (2005). Failure of components and products by 'engineered-in' defects: Case studies. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 12(6), 1000–1026. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2004.12.008</u>
- Garoupa, N. (2008). Providing a framework for reforming the legal profession: Insights from the European experience. *European Business Organization Law Review*, 9(3), 463–495. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1566752908004631
- Geistfeld, M. A. (2017). A roadmap for autonomous vehicles: State tort liability, automobile insurance, and federal safety regulation. *California Law Review*, 105, 1611-1694.
- Halin, H., Khairunizam, W., & Ali, H. (2021). Autonomous vehicle: Introduction and key elements. In Asian Conference on Intelligent Computing and Data Sciences (ACIDS), (pp. 1–5). IOP Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1997/1/012016</u>
- Harun, Z., Almutairi, Y., Molla, A. H., Sitinjak, C., Khashehchi, M., Mohamad, T. I., & Reda, E. (2023). End-of-life vehicles initiatives in the Middle East. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 15(4), 51–63.

- Henderson, P. B., Hashimoto, T., & Lemley, M. A. (2023). "Where's the liability in harmful AI speech?". Cornell University. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2308.04635</u>
- Henderson Jr, J. A., Twerski, A. D., & Kysar, D. A. (2020). *Products liability: Problems and process*. Aspen Publishing.
- Leuz, C. (2010). Different approaches to corporate reporting regulation: How jurisdictions differ and why. *Accounting and Business Research*, 40(3), 229–256.
- Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N., Farr, A., & Randall, R. J. (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 193(1), 265–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(19)52451-6
- Lu, M., Wevers, K., & Bekiaris, E. (2006). *Traffic safety principles and physical road infrastructure measures*. Lund University Publications.
- Milanović, N., Milosavljević, M., Benković, S., Starčević, D., & Spasenić, Ž. (2020). An acceptance approach for novel technologies in car insurance. *Sustainability*, 12(24), 1–15.
- Mohammed, H., Jaff, D., & Schrock, S. (2019). The challenges impeding traffic safety improvements in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 2, 1–8.
- Nation III, G. A. (2008). Respondeat manufacturer: Imposing vicarious liability on manufacturers of criminal products. *Baylor Law Review*, 60(155), 101-169.
- Opaluch, J. J. (1984). The use of liability rules in controlling hazardous waste accidents: Theory and practice. *Northeastern Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 13(2), 210–217. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0899367x00000519
- Peden, M. M. (2004). World report on road traffic injury prevention. World Health Organization.
- Rena, R. (2009). Indian economy: Financial sector reforms and development. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 1(4), 387–404.
- Rose, L. E. (1980). Consumer protection policy-making in Norway and the United States: A research note. *Taylor & Francis*, 3(3), 421–430. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01402388008424295</u>
- Russell, M., & Jur, T. A. (2017). Engineering analysis of failure: A determination of cause method. Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention, 17(1), 8–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11668-016-0224-9</u>
- Semenova, T., & Al-Dirawi, A. (2022). Economic development of the Iraqi gas sector in conjunction with the oil industry. *Energies*, 15(7), 1–31.
- Setiodjati, J. P., Wiwoho, J., & Suraji, S. (2021). Consumer legal protection: Building legal awareness in the age of globalization. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Environmental and Energy Policy (ICEEP 2021)*, (pp. 39–41). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.211014.010
- Shapo, M. S. (2017). Design defects. In Shapo on the law of products liability. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Southwick, A. F. (1963). Mass marketing and warranty liability. *Journal of Marketing*, 27(2), 6–12. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224296302700202
- Spitzer, M. (2019). Human rights, global supply chains, and the role of tort. *Journal of European Tort* Law, 10(2), 95–107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/jetl-2019-0110</u>
- Talim, S. R., Ali, B. J., & Top, C. (2021). Elaborating the antecedents of purchase intentions in secondhand car industry: A case study in Kurdistan Region of Iraq. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, 27(3), 1526–1547.
- Tennert, F. (2014). An attributional analysis of corporate reporting in crisis situations. *Journal of Communication Management, 18*(4), 422–435. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/jcom-09-2012-0074</u>
- White, J. J., & Munger, F. (1971). Consumer sensitivity to interest rates: An empirical study of new-car buyers and auto loans. *Michigan Law Review*, 69(7), 1207–1259. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1287546</u>
- Wuyts, D. (2014). The product liability directive-more than two decades of defective products in Europe. *Journal of European Tort Law, 5*(1), 1–34.
- Xiang, L., Chen, B., & Hanqing, X. (2012). Study on principle of product defect identification. *Procedia* Engineering, 43, 393–398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.08.068</u>
- Zainol, Z. A., Hassan, K. H., Hussein, W. M. H. W., & Phuoc, J. C. (2019). Adaptive regulation for Industry 4.0. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Social Science 2019 (ICSS 2019)*, (pp. 39–41). <u>https://doi.org/10.2991/icss-19.2019.8</u>